

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascin, Virginia 22313-1450 www.enplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/501,265	07/09/2004	Osamu Akiba	Q73735	7486		
23373. 7590 11/10/2010 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYI. VANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			DAHIMENE,	DAHIMENE, MAHMOUD		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTO	11, DC 20057		1713			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			11/10/2010	ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/501,265	AKIBA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MAHMOUD DAHIMENE	1713	

	CXailillei	AILOIIIL					
	MAHMOUD DAHIMENE	1713					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of inns may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (6) MCNIT'S from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or advanted period for reply will, by statistic cause the application to become ARADONOE'S (58 U.S. §, 133). Any reply, received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned pointer term adultsment. See 37 CFR 1.740(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Se	eptember 2010.						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-10</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-10</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
	_						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a)							
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F	eter Application					

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____. 6) Other: _____. Application/Control Number: 10/501,265 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/10/2010 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 1713

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

 Claims 1, 3-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Angadjivand et al. (US 6,375,886) in view of Morozov et al. (US 2002/0048770).

Angadjivand discloses a method and apparatus for charging fibers that contain a nonconductive polymer. A polar liquid 32, 34 is sprayed onto free-fibers 24, and the free-fibers 24 are then collected to form an entangled nonwoven fibrous web 25 that may contain a portion of the polar liquid. The nonwoven web 25 is then dried 38. By applying an effective amount of polar liquid 32, 34 onto the nonconductive free-fibers 24 before forming the nonwoven web 25, followed by drying 38, the individual fibers 24 become charged. The ethod and apparatus enable the fibers 24 to be charged during web manufacture without subsequent processing (abstract). Angadjivand cites "The spraying mechanisms 28, 30 may be used separately or simultaneously from multiple sides. The spraying mechanisms 28, 30 may be used to spray a vapor of polar liquid such as steam, an atomized spray or mist of fine polar liquid droplets, or an intermittent or continuous steady stream of a polar liquid. In general, the spraying step involves contacting the free fiber with the polar liquid by having the polar liquid supported by or directed through a gas phase in any of the forms just described. The spraying mechanisms 28, 30 may be located essentially anywhere between the die 20 and the collector 26. For example, in an alternate embodiment shown in FIG. 1, spraying mechanisms 28', 30' are located closer to the collector and even downstream to a source 36 that supplies staple fibers 37 to the web 25. (15) Spraying the free-fibers

Art Unit: 1713

while they are in a molten state or in a semi-molten state has been found to maximize the imparted charge. The spraying mechanisms 28, 30 are preferably located as close to the stream of free-fibers 24 as possible (distances e and f are inimized), without interfering with the flow of free-fibers 24 to the collector 26. The istances e and f are preferably about 30.5 cm (one foot) or less, more preferably less than 15 cm (6 inches), laterally from the free fiber. The polar liquid may be sprayed perpendicular to the stream of free-fibers or at an acute angle, such as at an acute angle in the general direction of free-fiber movement" (column 7, line 40-65), "The polar liquid is sprayed on the fibers in quantities sufficient to constitute an "effective amount." That is, the polar liquid is contacted with the free-fibers in an amount sufficient to enable an electret to be produced using the process of the invention. Typically, the quantity of polar liquid used is so great that the web is wet when initially formed on the collector. It may be possible, however, for no water to be present on the collector if, for example, the distance between the origin of the free-fiber and the collector is so great that the polar liquid dries while on the free-fiber rather than while on the collected web" (column 8, line 12), "The amount of polar liquid that is sprayed on the web may vary depending on the fiber production rates.".

It is noted that Angadjivand proposes "spraying mechanisms 28, 30 may be used to spray a vapor of polar liquid such as **steam**, an atomized spray or mist of fine polar liquid droplets", and does not expressly disclose the average diameter of the droplets is less than 20 microns.

Art Unit: 1713

Morozov discloses electrospraying solutions of substances for mass fabrication of chip and libraries.

Morozov teaches

"The method of electrospray is the electrostatic atomization of a liquid or a solution to obtain charged microdroplets, charged clusters and ions. The solution or liquid of the substance to be deposited is placed into a capillary (or array of capillaries), and the application of high voltage results in instability of the liquid or solution, which is then dispersed into small charged droplets 0.3-20 microns in diameter, and typically about 0.5-2 microns in diameter. Electrostatic repulsion rapidly moves these charged microdroplets from the capillary tip, and in their travel toward a substrate surface, the microdroplets evaporate if solvent vapor pressure is low enough, and the size of the droplets reach a Raleigh limit of electrostatic stability. Afterwards, the microdroplets undergo a series of decays, reducing their size to about 10-20 nm and increasing the electrostatic field to a level where evaporation of ionized solvated molecules becomes possible. On further travel through a dry gas, solvent is lost from these solvated ionized molecules. Where evaporation proceeds rapidly, all of the solute content of the microdroplets can be concentrated into small nanoclusters (FIG. 1).

[0006] Electrospray of solutions in solvents with low vapor pressure, such as water, electrospray in atmosphere containing large amount of solvent vapor or where the electrospray source is at a short distance from the substrate surface for deposition, can allow microdroplets to reach the substrate without complete decay and evaporation of all the solvent. This regime is referred to as wet electrospray. The deposition of charged molecules or clusters occurs in a dry electrospray regime where volatile solvents is used and the conditions of low partial vapor pressure of the solvent in gas or a longer distance between the electrospray source and the substrate surface is used.

[0007] Accordingly, this electrospray phenomena permits the deposition of substances in the form of charged microdroplets, solvated or dry ionized molecules, or nanoclusters. Nanoclusters or fibers can be produced by electrospray from linear polymers. The form of deposit can be regulated by changing the travel path of the charged species and their speed, by control of vapor pressure in the atmosphere, and by the proper choice of solvent and solution concentration." (paragraphs 0005-0007)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the process of Angadjivand by using the conventional electrospray method disclosed by Morozov because Morozov teaches the advantages of the electrospray method in delivering microdropletes, reducing their size

Art Unit: 1713

to about 10-20 nm and increasing the electrostatic field to a level where evaporation of ionized solvated molecules becomes possible.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the process of Angadjivand by using the electrospray method in order to regulate the form of the deposit with the added flexibility of changing the travel path and speed of the sprayed material, as suggested by Morozov.

As to claim 3, it is noted Angadjivand does not expressly disclose the droplet versus fiber content, however, Angadjivand discloses "The polar liquid is sprayed on the fibers in quantities sufficient to constitute an "effective amount." That is, the polar liquid is contacted with the free-fibers in an amount sufficient to enable an electret to be produced using the process of the invention" As indicated above. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to spray the polar liquid on the fibers in quantities sufficient to constitute an "effective amount." That is, the polar liquid is contacted with the free-fibers in an amount sufficient to enable an electret to be produced using the process of the invention since Angadiivand teaches adjusting the liquid droplets content is necessary in order to obtain the desired results. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use any relative amount of droplets versus fiber content including the amount claimed by the applicant in claim 3 since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

Art Unit: 1713

As to claim 4, Angadjivand discloses Cooperating gas orifices 23--through which a gaseous stream, typically heated air, is forced at high velocity--are positioned proximate die orifice 22 to assist in drawing the fiber-forming material through the orifice 22 (column 6, line 40).

As to claim 5-6, Angadjivand discloses "nonconductive" means possessing a volume resistivity of about 10.sup.14 ohm.cm or greater at room temperature (column 4, line 40). Angadjivand discloses a volume resistivity range that overlaps applicant's claimed range. Overlapping ranges are held obvious.

As to claim 10, Angadjivand discloses the apparatus comprising (1) a means for melt-extruding a thermoplastic resin containing electrical-chargeability enhancing agents to form thermoplastic resin fibers; (2) a means for spraying droplets consisting essentially of a polar liquid to a space downstream of a direction of said thermoplastic resin extruded from said means for melt-extruding a thermoplastic resin, to thereby form a mist space, the average diameter of said droplets being less than 20 pm; and (3) a means for collecting said thermoplastic resin fibers which have been passed through said mist space.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed 8/10/2010, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s)
 and 4 under 35 USC § 112 have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. The rejection of all pending claims under 35 USC \$ 103 has also been withdrawn in view of applicant's new amendments to the

Art Unit: 1713

claims. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Angadjivand et al. (US 6,375,886) in view of Morozov et al. (US 2002/0048770). The instant office action has been updated to address the new limitations as well as applicant's arguments.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MAHMOUD DAHIMENE whose telephone number is (571)272-2410. The examiner can normally be reached on week days from 8:00 AM. to 5:00 PM

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/501,265 Page 9

Art Unit: 1713

/M. D./

Examiner, Art Unit 1713

/Nadine G Norton/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1713